## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

| CHESTER MARSHALL and RICHARD WHITBY  Plaintiffs,  v.  AMSTED RAIL COMPANY, INC.,  |                           | )<br>)  |              |
|---|---------------------------|---|--------------|
|   |                           | ) ) Case No. 3:10-cv-11-DJW ) )   |              |
|   |                           |   | Defendant. ) |
| VERDICT—CHESTER MARSHALL  |                           |   |              |
| 1. On the overtime claim of plaintiff against defendant, we find in favor of:   |                           |   |              |
| Plaintiff Chester Marshall Defendant Amsted   |                           |   |              |
| V_Deter   | idant Amsted              |   |              |
| <b>Note:</b> Answer Question 2 only if the above finding is in favor of Plaintiff Marshall. If the above finding is in favor of Defendant, you must all sign and date the form because you have completed your deliberations on this claim. |                           |   |              |
| prohibited by the Fa  |                           | ed willfully, that it either knew its conduct was showed reckless disregard for whether its conduct ct? |              |
| Yes   |                           |   |              |
| No  |                           |   |              |
|   | f you answered no to Ques | should award damages for the period from January stion 2, you should award damages for the period       |              |
| 3. We find that the plaintiff should be awarded damages in the amount of:   |                           |   |              |
| \$  | (stating the amount)      |   |              |
|   |                           |   |              |

2.

## VERDICT—CHESTER MARSHALL (Cont'd)

Note: Sign and Date this form

Dated: 2-27-13

